



**KAIKOURA
DISTRICT COUNCIL**

**SOCIAL SERVICES
COMMITTEE**

AGENDA

13 July 2005

COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 2002 DECISION-MAKING REQUIREMENTS

Except as below, a statement of compliance and a completed decision checklist is required for any agenda item on a council committee or the council recommending that a decision be made. This will be the responsibility of the person signing off the agenda item.

The compliance statement and checklist will not be used for:

- Recommendations that information be received or that the Council make a decision.
- Decisions taken under the Resource Management Act 1991 or the Biosecurity Act 1993 in relation to resource consents, decisions required when following the procedures set out in Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, other permissions, submissions on plans, or references to the Environment Court.
- Decisions taken to proceed with enforcement procedures under various primary or secondary legislation or regulations, including procedures under the Resource Management Act 1991, the Biosecurity Act 1993, the Local Government Act 2002, and Kaikoura District Council Bylaws.
- Administrative and personnel decisions that are entirely internal to Kaikoura District Council.
- Other decisions where the procedures to be followed are set out in Legislation.

COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

The council committee (or the council) must formally certify that:

- (a) It is satisfied that it has sufficient information about the options and their benefits and costs, in terms of the region's social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being and the effects on community outcomes, bearing in mind the significance of the decisions.
- (b) It is satisfied that it knows enough about and has given adequate consideration to the views and preferences of affected and interested parties bearing in mind the significance of the decision.

INFORMATION CHECKLIST

(a)	A Statement of the Proposed Decision
(b)	A Statement of the Objective of the Proposed Decision and the Issue or Problem being addressed
(c)	A list of all reasonably practicable options, (including doing nothing).
(d)	For each option in (c): An evaluation of the Benefits and Costs, in terms of the region's social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being.
(e)	For each option in (c): A statement of the extent to which community outcomes would be promoted or achieved in an integrated and efficient manner.
(f)	For each option in (c): A statement of the Impact, if any, on Kaikoura District Council's capacity to undertake its statutory responsibilities
(g)	If the Proposed Decision is a significant decision in relation to land or a body of water, a statement of how Maori values have been taken into account
(h)	A Statement of significant inconsistencies, if any, with any Existing Policy, Plan or Legislation arising from the Proposed Decision.
(i)	A statement how the views and preferences of affected or interested persons have been given adequate consideration during the definition of the problem or issue, the objective, the assessment of options and the development of the proposed decision, including the particular contribution of Maori to the decision-making process.

Notes:

The significance of proposals and decisions determines how much time, money and effort is put into exploring and evaluating options and obtaining the views of affected and interested parties. The significance of proposals and decisions is determined through reference to criteria contained in the policy on significance.

The policy on significance together with Section 76 of the Local Government Act 2002 set out the Council's requirements in relation to decisions. Some decisions can only be made through the Long-Term Council Community Plan, or after the Special Consultative Procedures set out in the Act have been used, (refer to the policy on significance and the Act).

All decisions of Kaikoura District Council are subject to the decision-making requirements of section 76 of the Act unless inconsistent with specific requirements of other legislation.

**SOCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING HELD AT 9.00
AM ON WEDNESDAY 13 JULY 2005 AT MEMORIAL HALL
SUPPER ROOM, ESPLANADE, KAIKOURA**

AGENDA

1. *Apologies*
2. *Matters to be raised as General Business*
3. *Matters Arising from Report of 08/06/05* *page 1*
4. *Community Networkers Minutes* *page 4*
5. *Marc Slade, Partnership Project Manager , Housing NZ Corporation will be present to provide a presentation to the committee and to obtain an insight into housing issues within our district and to also discuss the attached Housing Fund application (pg 6-20)recently submitted by council.*
6. *Correspondence*
 - Outward*
 - *Invitation to the Mayor's Education/Children/Youth & Elderly Workstream being held on Friday 29th July 2005* *page 21*
 - Inward*
 - *Hon. Ruth Dyson* *page 22*
 - *Every Child Counts* *page 23*
 - *Problem Gambling Foundation (email)* *page 25*

The following correspondence will be tabled and available at the meeting for information purposes.

 - *Ministry of Health newsletter*
 - *Ministry of Social Development newsletter*
 - *Work & Income regional newsletter*
 - *Family & Community Services newsletter*
 - *Land Transport New Zealand newsletter*
 - *NZ Recreation Association*
 - *Coss News – note funding applications pg 8-9*
 - *Problem Gambling Foundation newsletter*
7. *Re-useable Nappy Campaign* *page 26*
8. *Green Globe Social Indicator* *page 28*
9. *Mayor's Update*
10. *Community Development Officer's Update*
11. *Road Safety Update*
12. *General Business*

REPORT OF THE SOCIAL SERVICES MEETING HELD WEDNESDAY 8th JUNE 2005 AT 9.00AM IN THE MEMORIAL HALL SUPPER ROOM, ESPLANADE, KAIKOURA.

PRESENT: Councillor B Woods (Chairperson), J Bolton (Te Whare Putea).

IN ATTENDANCE: L Copping (Executive Officer), M Burdon (Community Development Officer & Strengthening Families Co-ordinator), N Doney (Ministry of Social Development), M Kennedy (Road Safety Officer), C Mackenzie (District Nurse), G Rae (Work & Income NZ), D McKee (Kaikoura Pharmacy), M Hislop.

APOLOGIES: Councillor M McChesney, Mayor Heays, Councillor S Thomas, D Wright, M Falloon, S Chapman, G Kleiss

Moved by J Bolton, seconded by M Burdon and resolved that apologies be accepted.

1. BRENDON BURNS VISIT

Labour MP Brendon Burns attended the meeting to present to the Committee his thoughts on how economic development could fit with Kaikoura.

He advised in 2000 he had formed an economic development trust for the Marlborough district which had been successful in securing a \$2million grant from the government for the Omakea heritage area. A training scheme for young people was also up and running. He advised a further \$2 million grant had also been secured for the establishment of a wine research centre which was attracting people from all over the world, with a further \$1 million for research.

He advised Kaikoura High School appeared to be starting to focus on trade training, which would have great outcomes for the community. The seasonal employment aspect of the district was also noted, along with the fact that Kaikoura had the potential to focus on Kaikoura being a year round destination with the location of Mount Lyford Ski Field.

B Burns advised he believed the Kaikoura district was on the brink of a further wave of development, and that it needed to move to a higher waged, higher skilled society. Young people were now preferring to enter a trade rather than go to University.

He advised he would be very happy to assist with the process of setting up an economic development trust if the community decided it wanted to pursue such a process.

C MacKenzie advised she felt it was an excellent idea, especially if youth were able to undertake trade training at school prior to leaving school.

2. NO-DOZ AWAKENESS

Local pharmacist, D McKee was present in response to a request from a local seller of Party Pills as to why No-Doz tablets were being sold over the counter at the pharmacy, yet his liquor outlet store had been asked to not sell Party Pills over the counter.

D McKee advised it was extraordinary that a pharmacist was being questioned for selling No-Doz tablets as NoDoz tablets and Party Pills were totally separate issued. To clarify and compare, he advised Party Pills were manufactured from a product called BZP, whereas No-Doz contained caffeine.

No-Doz tablets contained caffeine in order to keep the user awake. To date he was unaware of any persons that had overdosed on caffeine.

D McKee advised BZP was an entirely manufactured product which had originated from cattle drench. It was extremely cheap to manufacture thus providing a massive level of profit when sold as party pills. The long term effects of BZP was mostly unknown as human use of the drug had not been researched. Party pills had not been developed through the normal drug procedure and therefore no long-term studies had been undertaken.

The short term effects of party pills increased the blood flow to the brain thus giving rise to euphoric feelings which were governed by ceratone. Party pills were not dissimilar to antidepressants however the effects were very short lived, with a much higher rise and quicker drop. D McKee noted most nasty effects from Party Pills were hugely compounded when combined with alcohol.

3. COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OFFICER'S UPDATE

COMMUNITY WORKSHOP

The Community Development Officer advised she had recently had discussions with local resident Paul McGahan regarding adult workshops. A survey had also recently been undertaken throughout the community calling for initiatives for workshops, to gauge an idea of what type of adult learning was wanted within the community.

RURAL HEALTH WORKSHOP

The Community Development Officer advised a rural health workshop was being held in Wellington on Monday 27 June, and suggested a PHO representative attend. D McKee advised he would inform the PHO at the next meeting which was scheduled prior to the rural health workshop.

4. UPDATE FROM FAMILY & COMMUNITY SERVICES

N Doney advised the Local Mapping & Services report was nearing completion. It was hoped it would be completed by the end of June with a copy available at the next meeting.

N Doney advised Te Whare Putea and Heartlands/WINZ were scheduled to amalgamate and relocate to premises in Beach Road, at the former liquor outlet site, behind Subway.

M Hislop provided the meeting with history of Te Whare, advising the Te Whare site was originally a private house. It was then used by public health from where the Plunket clinic operated from. The Toy Library had also begun there. She noted the site was now listed with the Historic Places Trust.

5. ROAD SAFETY UPDATE

The Road Safety Officer provided an update. He advised the amount of accidents on State Highway within the district was of on-going concern, in the wake of a recent fatal truck crash, and asked for initiatives and ideas to help solve the problem. A truck driver involved in an accident a few years prior had recently written a book and was putting forward a proposal that all truck drivers involved in accidents had a mandatory two week stand down and receive counseling and support following accidents.

Funding to Takahanga Marae for a Maori road safety initiative had been used with 20 Maori students attending a driving skills course.

A mock accident scene had recently been held in Hurunui by the Hurunui Road Safety Group which included a guest speaker who was a parapalegic from drunk driving and also a mother who had lost a son in a recent fatal accident in Hanmer. He advised it was a well run worthwhile exercise, and he would be making enquiries for it to be bought to Kaikoura. As Kaikoura was now part of the Canterbury Road Safety Group there was a good chance of getting resources such as this to the district.

There being no further business the meeting closed at 10.44am.

Minutes of the Kaikoura Community Networkers Meeting

NOON, 14 JUNE, THE SHED, TE WHARE PUTEA, 12 TORQUAY STREET

Present: Angie Brott(MWR), Barbara Timms (TWP), Karen Starkey (COGS), Lynne Williams (MSD), Noel Doney (FACS), Trevor Walker & Frank Maxwell (HNZC), Lyn van Velzen, Robin Mortimer & Gayle Rae (Work and Income), Gordon Strang (MCLC), Ma-rea Unahi (CCS), Murray Bennett (Supporting Families), Madeleine Burdon (KDC & SF)

Apologies: Sonya Chapman (PHN)

Welcome: to Barbara in her new part time role as budget advisor based at te Whare Putea

Congratulations to Lisa and Thomas Kahu on the birth of their daughter

Round:

Karen - COGS applications from June 1st, close Friday 29 July. Can do online via www.dia.govt.nz as well as hard-copy (contact Don Manunui Nelson DIA office; Funding "for groups providing essential social services to priority sectors in local community". If not a legal entity can have an umbrella for funding, depends on amount applying for.

Robin - information on Single Core Benefit, biggest change in benefit structure. Forms at Work & Income offices or online with 6 questions MSD want feedback on from community; trying out different models for different circumstances e.g. (1) rapid returns to workforce; (2) maybe have other issues so more long term & other needs i.e. personal development; (3) probably unlikely to return to paid work i.e. not suitable

Ma-rea - doing a joint presentation with Work and Income; still has employment support focus but all CCS services will eventually be available in Kaikoura that are available elsewhere.

Noel - Heartlands center moving to new venue, 78 Beach Road in August. Letter from FACS will be sent out to the partners in the venture shortly. Work and Income will be one of the permanent residents with 2 of the desks and phone

lines; will be 3 other desks, 2 interview rooms, 1 for community meetings; intranet available for job seekers; joint signage currently being organized for te Whare Putea & Heartlands. Will have 10 parking spaces. Question about confidential space available?

Gordon - MCLC putting a lot of effort into its outreach for Picton & the Sounds as service suspended for 12 months prior so have learnt from that & want to improve service to Kaikoura, so doing assessment of local needs. Visit 2x month and would like to get a foothold in the high school & Stephanie has built relationship with Takahanga Marae. Options that could have someone as local agent on behalf of MCLC/overnight stays so more involvement with other people and sectors of the community. Legal funding criteria is "that there is an unmet legal need" so "isolation" is a very real factor in being able to justify their work; however this is not seen in the same light as areas of the north island.

Frank - building has started for the new 3 bed roomed properties (Kiwi St) completion maybe by 2006 -applications for HNZN are steady.

Robin - wanted to speak to meeting on behalf of the Strengthening Families Management Group. They have heard concerns expressed about the management of SF, being driven by outside agencies. They are proposing some combined "inter-sector" education sessions for facilitators (also maybe of interest to other local agencies).

Madeleine - notices

Funding Information Service (FIS database)- has been some inquiries so need to check out with TW Putea if this service is available locally for the community, if not maybe Council could share cost of subscribing;

He Papa Pounamu - no feedback to date re this proposal; Madeleine to get back to Lynette from the Business Association as to their intentions;

Housing Innovation Fund - Madeleine still collating data for application;

Community website - a small group of local people interested in developing Council website - needs to be an update of statutory services visiting Kaikoura so this information can be available; website to go live 30 June.

Next Meeting

Tuesday July 12th, noon - 1pm at	The Shed, Te Whare Putea
--	---------------------------------

8 July 2005

Greetings and welcome to the 2005 Kaikoura Community Work stream for Education, Children, Youth and Elderly.

For various reasons, the previous two meetings have had to be postponed, however I now announce the inaugural meeting for this workstream will be held on **Friday the 29th of July at 10am** in the supper room of the Kaikoura Memorial Hall.

Please note this work stream, although undoubtedly hugely productive, is intended to be an informal affair, and donations of pikelets, scones or banana cake would probably add to the whole affair.

An indication of numbers is required, so please contact Linda at the Kaikoura Council Office on 319 5026 or email linda.copping@kaikoura.govt.nz. to advise of your attendance **by Friday 22nd July**.

Cheers and lets get into it!

Yours etc.

Kevin (Heays)
MAYOR

Re-Usable Nappy Campaign

Between the 20th and 26th of June 2005 was international Real Nappy week, the week was started in the UK to highlight the issue surrounding disposable nappies and to promote the alternatives that exist and thus reduce waste to landfill and save parents money in the process.

The issue of disposable nappies being disposed of in landfills is a significant one both in Kaikoura and throughout the world. Wellington City Council estimates that 6% of volumes to landfill are disposable nappies it is estimated in Kaikoura that 353,000 nappies are sent to landfill each year, while in the Australia 2.2 million disposable nappies are sent to landfill each day!

The problem with disposing of nappies to landfill is the time they take to break down, 500 years to decompose. Prior to breaking down they produce leachate and are a health hazard harbouring up to 100 different viruses and if the child has recently been vaccinated can include live polio vaccine, which can survive for up to 2 weeks. Equivalent waste from hospitals is treated as clinical waste and is not deemed safe to be dumped in landfills sites.

Parts of the nappy do breakdown (including the urine and faeces) which gives off gases including methane. Methane is a major greenhouse gas thus disposable nappies also contribute to global warming. In addition to end of life issues, disposable nappies use 3.5 times more energy, 8 times more non-renewable raw materials and 90 times more renewable materials than reusable nappies and to produce one disposable nappy uses as much energy as washing a cloth nappy 200 times.

There are a number of alternatives available in New Zealand, made of cloth materials that are washable and thereby reusable as follows:

- *Flat whites*, made of cotton or flannelette squares, these are the traditional cloth nappies and can also come in the form of “prefolds” which are a rectangular shaped nappy with an absorbent panel sewn down the middle. Flat whites can be folded to fit most babies and fastened with safety pins, over these is a nappy cover.

While still an affordable option, they are not very convenient requiring soaking and they are not very practical for taking a baby out as they become uncomfortable for the baby extremely quickly.

- *Fitted nappies*, these are shaped to suit the baby perfectly ensuring no leaks and a comfortable fit. They have elastic in the legs and waist and have poppers or Velcro. They come in a range of sizes or one size fits all and can be boosted with additional absorbent pads for night-time or heavy wetters, they also need an external cover.
- *All in one*, a shaped absorbent nappy with a waterproof cover built in, the all in one is fastened with either Velcro or snaps. Like the fitted nappy they come in various sizes from birth to toddler with some being size adjustable so they grow with the baby.
- *Pocket nappies*, these are similar to the all in one and have a cover sewn together to form a pocket as the name suggests. The pocket is stuffed with an absorbent material such as flat white nappies or a pre-sewn stuffer, which gives the versatility of the all in one nappy with variable absorbency and a short drying time.

Unlike the flat white nappies, the newer style of nappy (fitted, all in one and pocket nappies) do not need to be soaked and can instead be “dry pailed” in a lidded bucket before the nappies

are pre rinsed and then washed at 60° in a washing machine. Meaning the nappies are as convenient as disposable nappies and beginning to remove a major problem from Kaikoura's landfill.

The other major benefit of the washable nappy is a cost saving, on average a child is in nappies for 2 and a half years and in that time uses 6840 nappies, if a parent uses only disposable nappies this will cost \$4055 per child plus wipes (59 cents per nappy).

With Cloth nappies there is a one off cost of around \$960 (14 cents per nappy) and as they can be reused for each new child their cost decreases with each new child. There is also a cost to launder cloth nappies however even if the nappies are 100% machine dried that only adds an additional 6.8 cents per nappy. Also when there are no more nappy wearing children in the house, washable nappies can be sold through trademe.co.nz, recouping some of the upfront cost.

As a community aiming to be zero waste, both Council and Innovative Waste Kaikoura need to be promoting the use of reusable nappies to reduce the numbers being sent to landfill and the Environmental Development Officer has promoted this issue on his monthly radio show.

An additional measure that council could become involved in is to provide an incentive to mothers to at least try a cloth nappy. An example of such an incentive would be for council to provide a coupon to parents, which they could redeem with any of the real nappy companies to purchase one nappy and the Environmental Development Officer is currently discussing with The Nappy Network how to take this initiative forward.

GREEN GLOBE 21 SOCIAL INDICATOR

The Green Globe 21 benchmarking process involves measuring 12 indicators, 10 compulsory and pre determined by Green Globe and 2 optional indicators, one of the 2 optional indicators measures the social health and well being of Kaikoura's community. The measure for this is through this annual customer satisfaction survey which has just been completed.

The survey was conducted as a telephone survey in April 2005, interviewing Kaikoura residents selected from the Kaikoura District electoral role 2004. Independent interviewers interviewed 165 people during the day and in the early evening, interviewing this number of people meant the survey is accurate to plus or minus 7.5%. This is a similar response rate to 2004 so council can be relatively certain of the accuracy of the results.

The survey was in four parts, the community satisfaction survey, the community's views on crime and safety, the social indicator for Green Globe 21 and the final part relating asking questions about tourism in Kaikoura

Demographics


Each participant was asked to provide personal information on their sex, age, where they live and whether they were property owners or not.

There was a good spread in age, generally representative of the age breakdown in Kaikoura, the table below compares previous surveys and the census. 48% of respondents were male and 52% female. This is more representative then previously when there were 37% male and 63% female, and reflects Statistics New Zealand data which shows 49% of Kaikoura's population are male and 51% female.


Age Group	2005	Census	2004	2003
18-29	20%	15%	15%	8%
30-39	13%	18%	20%	8%
40-49	8%	21%	17%	16%
50-59	28%	19%	22%	27%
60-69	11%	13%	19%	23%
70+	17%	14%	7%	16%
Not stated	2%	-	0%	2%

Comparison of age breakdowns of survey respondents

The vast majority of respondents (75%) were property owners and the majority (75%) lived between Hapuka and South Bay, the remaining 25% spread from Kekerengu to Oaro resulting is a good representation of both rural and urban views, this is a very similar result to previous years.



In this years survey we also asked a question about the employment status of the respondent, the majority of respondents were in full time work (43%) with retired the next largest group at 30% followed by working part time at 15%. The remainder were employed casually (5%), in between jobs or not currently working (both 2%), in



Green Globe

The objectives of this; measured through three groups of questions, are as follows:

- To examine the community’s involvement in environmental activities
- To examine the health, well being and strength of the community through its participation in social activities
- To ascertain the community’s involvement in council and community activities


Section 1 - In the past year have you done any of the following?



Divided into two groups, the first 6 issues related to involvement in environmental activities. There was high involvement in walking or cycling in preference to using a car (64%), conserving water (84%) and waste minimisation (95%). While there was less involvement in working on conservation projects, (28%), improving a local waterway (14%) and contributing to the marine environment (21%).

In 2004, 74% walked or cycled in preference to a car, 90% minimised waste and 82% conserved water. While 29% worked on a conservation project, 13% improved a waterway and 26% contributed to the marine environment.

The second group involved 5 questions relating to social activities and participation in council. A high number of people were involved in a voluntary club or organisation (63%). However there was also a large number of people that are not involved in activities, only 27% indicating they had attended a public meeting, 12% had written a submission, 10% working with a council committee and 27% contacted council with a complaint or positive feedback.

In 2004, 54% were involved in a voluntary club or organisation, 32% had attended a public meeting, 22% had written a submission, 15% had worked with council on a committee and 28% had contacted council with a complaint or positive feedback.






Section 2: Do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

This question was designed to examine the community's health and well-being and strengths by looking at the community's beliefs and if it participates and works well together.


31% of respondents feel council has an open and participatory process, a slight improvement from 2004 when 26% felt the council had an open and participatory process. 40% felt there was a point in participating the same as in 2004, only 24% feel it is worth getting involved and not leaving to others, compared with 48% in 2004. 32% feel they know how to participate in council activities compared with 55% in 2004. It should be noted however that there is a

high number of people selecting don't know or not stating at 43%, 30%, 27% and 28% respectively.

With regard to the final two issues, 70% feel Kaikoura is a close and supportive community, compared with 58% in 2004 and 68% feel Kaikoura people work well together in dealing with local issues, compared with 52% in 2004. Don't knows and not stated were not quite as high for these at 14% and 15% respectively.



Section 3: Do you belong to any organisations or clubs that has an environmental goal?
 This question was designed to examine the community's involvement in clubs or organisations involved in environmental activities and a large number of people (60%) stated that they were not members of organisations with environmental goals, the same as 2004.



Comparisons with 2003 and 2004 results

Have you done any of the following in the past year?

Issue	Year	% Yes	% No	% Not stated	
Worked on conservations	2005	28%	68%	4%	
	2004	29%	63%	8%	
	2003	23%	77%	0%	
Worked to improve a local waterway	2005	14%	81%	5%	
	2004	13%	78%	8%	
	2003	8%	92%	0%	
Contributed to the marine environment	2005	21%	67%	12%	
	2004	26%	65%	9%	
	2003	30%	68%	2%	
Walked or cycled around Kaikoura	2005	64%	28%	1%	6% No car
	2004	74%	19%	1%	6% No car
	2003	71%	29%	0%	0%
Minimised rubbish by recycling regularly	2005	95%	4%	1%	
	2004	90%	6%	4%	
	2003	91%	9%	0%	
Conserved water	2005	84%	13%	2%	
	2004	82%	13%	5%	
	2003	86%	12%	2%	
Worked in a local voluntary organisation or club	2005	63%	35%	2%	
	2004	54%	38%	8%	
	2003	55%	45%	0%	
Attended a public meeting on any issue	2005	27%	65%	7%	
	2004	32%	59%	9%	
	2003	33%	65%	2%	
Written a submission on any issue	2005	12%	82%	6%	
	2004	22%	65%	12%	
	2003	17%	83%	0%	
Worked on or with any council committee on any issue	2005	10%	82%	8%	
	2004	15%	75%	11%	
	2003	15%	83%	2%	

Contacted the council with complaints or positive feedback	2005	27%	68%	5%
	2004	28%	58%	14%
	2003	33%	65%	2%

Do you agree or disagree with the following

Issue	Year	Disagree	Agree	Neutral	Don't Know or not stated
Your local council has an open an participatory processes	2005	28%	31%	Not Asked	
	2004	28%	26%	30%	16%
	2003	22%	48%	8%	21%
There is no point in participating as it has no effect	2005	40%	30%	Not Asked	30%
	2004	40%	33%	12%	15%
	2003	55%	24%	11%	11%
I don't participate in making decisions about local issues and leave it those who are interested	2005	24%	50%	Not Asked	27%
	2004	48%	32%	13%	7%
	2003	53%	21%	15%	11%
I would participate in local issues the council is working on but I don't know how	2005	32%	40%	Not Asked	29%
	2004	55%	24%	12%	10%
	2003	59%	17%	11%	14%
Kaikoura is a close an supportive community	2005	16%	70%	Not Asked	14%
	2004	22%	58%	12%	7%
	2003	15%	71%	8%	6%
Kaikoura people work well together to deal with local issues	2005	18%	68%	Not Asked	15%
	2004	22%	52%	16%	9%
	2003	17%	67%	8%	10%

Do you belong to any organizations or clubs that have environmental goals?

	Yes	No	Not stated
2005	38%	60%	2%
2004	36%	60%	4%
2003	26%	70%	4%