

# **REPORT OF THE EARTHQUAKE RECOVERY COMMITTEE MEETING HELD AT 10.05AM ON WEDNESDAY 25 JANUARY 2017 AT COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 96 WEST END, KAIKOURA.**

**PRESENT:** Mayor W Gray (Chair), Councillors L Bond, T Blunt, C Harnett, J Howden, C Mackle, D Millton, N Pablecheque.

**IN ATTENDANCE:** A Oosthuizen (Chief Executive Officer), J Parfitt (Advisor to CEO), S Syme (Committee Secretary).

**APOLOGIES:** H Manawatu

## **1. URGENT BUSINESS**

1. Proposed approach and process for Central Government Funding.

## **2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES 21/12/2016**

***Moved by Mayor Gray, seconded by Councillor Mackle and resolved that the report of the Earthquake Recovery Committee meeting held on 21 December 2016 be confirmed a true and accurate record. Unanimous.***

## **3. MINUTES ACTION LIST UPDATE**

### **Coastguard Slipway Plans**

The Plans for the Coastguard slipway had changed when there had been a change in project management.

### **Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Marlborough District Council**

The Chief Executive Officer had been advised there was no requirement for a MOU with Marlborough District Council for the response phase. It was suggested an MOU may be required for activities which were currently being undertaken e.g. rubbish collection. The Chief Executive Officer would investigate further.

## **4. RECOVERY REPORT**

A huge response had been received from the community survey and the analysis was taking a little longer than had been anticipated which meant the draft Recovery Plan would be delayed. The next phase would be two workshops one on 31 January with approximately 30 people invited followed by a public meeting in February. The assistance from Christchurch City Council was acknowledged.

A discussion was held regarding remote smaller communities and how communications were being undertaken with them.

***Moved by Mayor Gray, seconded by Councillor Millton and resolved that the Recovery Report be received. Unanimous.***

## **5. INFRASTRUCTURE UPDATE**

Included in the agenda was an update on progress regarding repairs to infrastructure.

The urban water supply was back to normal flows and the pipes would be undergrounded mid-February. Some supplies still had boil water notices in place, plans were being put in place so these could be lifted. There were currently 22 properties without functioning sewer on both Hawthorne & Mt Fyffe Roads.

Work was still being undertaken with Opus with regarding to bridges. The questions was asked regarding whether or not the Hawthorne Road Bridge needed to be replaced. This would be put to Opus to include with options.

All roads were open and usable but not to their usual standard. A discussion was held regarding roadside mowing. It was request that this be undertaken to ensure maximum safety given the current condition of the roads.

A discussion was held regarding plans and work progress on the State Highway.

***Moved by Councillor Howden, seconded by Councillor Mackle and resolved that Infrastructure Update report be received. Unanimous.***

## **6. HARBOUR REPORT**

Included in the agenda was an update on the Harbour emergency works remediation project.

Environment Canterbury had funded the initial feasibility to date which had cost \$217,000 and Downer had undertaken work to the value of \$130,000. A governance group had been established to oversee the project as stipulated by government who were funding the remediation works. The Chief Executive Officer had agreed to fund an investigation into future options for the harbour so that emergency works did not impact future works.

Repairs to the North Wharf were part of the remediation works which were being undertaken. The Chief Executive Officer would circulate the scope of emergency works. It was noted the Waipapa Bay slipway was operational and an enquiry was made as to whether Council would fund repair / development works which had been undertaken.

***Moved by Councillor Howden, seconded by Councillor Pablecheque and resolved that the Harbour Report be received. Unanimous.***

## **7. DEMOLITION WASTE DISPOSAL**

A report on demolition waste disposal was tabled. The purpose of the report was to consider the various options for waste disposal, their advantages, disadvantages, associated risks and their cost implications. The options were;

1. Demolish and put into landfill
2. Demolish and cart to Kate Valley
3. Demolish, deconstruct and recover.

The recommended option was demolish, deconstruct and recover which was a process whereby homeowners were offered assistance with asbestos

and hazardous substance checks in exchange for providing information in regards to structural engineering, demolition methods, site information and waste disposal. In order to implement the process Council would require funding assistance from the Ministry for the Environment. It was considered proper deconstruction would result in little residual waste with only cleanfill waste at the end of the process.

A discussion was held regarding the following;

- A site for the dumping of waste. The Innovative Waste site would be used with funding sought to modify the site. Two cleanfill sites had been identified where rubble would be taken.
- Concerns regarding the Innovative Waste site as it was currently set out.
- Issues surrounding dust over the town.
- Demolition permits and when these were required.
- The large cost associated with deconstruction and the amount of time it took.
- People having the option of how their house was demolished. The preferred option provided a process which people could choose with a checklist in place, including for asbestos.
- Funding of checks and disposal costs of waste where it was separated.
- Worksafe requirements with regard to demolition.
- The fact that people would get more help and structure if they used the demolish, deconstruct and recover process.
- Demolition costs being underestimated by home owners.
- Whether it was worth setting up the process for an estimated small number of houses.
- Private contractors who dealt with asbestos.
- The fact that upgrades at Innovative Waste would need to happen regardless because operations had grown but the facilities had not changed at the same rate.
- Cost of enforcement and effects on the environment had not been factored into costings.
- Part of the process would be to get an accredited contractor system in place.
- Confirmation that Council would not be tied into a process that could become expensive.
- That fines would not cover the cost of court action for people who dumped illegally.
- The process once initiated would always be an available option.

***Moved by Councillor Mackle, seconded by Councillor Bond and resolved that;***

- 1. Council receive the information in the Demolition Waste Disposal Report***
- 2. Adopt the “demolish, deconstruct and recover” option to deal with buildings that will need to be demolished as a result of the Hurunui Kaikoura Earthquakes noting the cost of the option was \$650,000 in capital expenditure and some operational expenses but there was the***

*ability to attract 75% of total costs from the Ministry for the Environment.*

- 3. If Council was unsuccessful with the Ministry for the Environment application, staff would present a further report of options for consideration. Unanimous*

#### **8. URGENT BUSINESS**

##### **Proposed Approach and Process for Central Government Funding**

A report was tabled at the meeting which provided an overview of the proposed approach and process to be followed for the submission of funding proposals to central government. There was a lot of work which needed to be undertaken for the submissions and a plan was being developed in terms of who would be doing what. It was proposed that an extraordinary meeting be held on the 9<sup>th</sup> of February to discuss what was being put forward to central government.

#### **10. PUBLIC EXCLUDED SESSION**

*Moved by Mayor Gray, seconded by Councillor Howden and resolved that the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting namely;*

- a) Confirmation of Minutes 21 December 2016. Unanimous.*

*There being no further business the meeting closed at 11.40am.*