

Changes to the Consultation Document and/or supporting documents:

Consultation Document

- Clarified “**financial** support” wherever the CD mentions support for the Whale Trail.
- Footpaths option two, net cost after NZTA subsidy is \$1,108,773.
- **There** are 5 pau which Te Runanga o Kaikōura operate from ... (remove “, T was missing)
- **Runānga** instead of Rununga
- Removed duplicate pages at end of document
- Consistent spelling of **Councillor** instead of Councilor (UK vs. US spelling)
- Corrected Councillor names **Vicki** Gulleford and Kevin **Heays** on photo pages

Financial Strategy

- Consistency that capital distributions from Marlborough Regional Forestry are expected to commence in **2029** (not 2027 mentioned in KPI target table)
- Net debt is the total borrowings less cash & cash equivalents **and other financial assets/cash investments**.

Infrastructure Strategy

- Added row for Stormwater LOS (missing from Appendix 2) – this involved reformatting the table.
- Introduction includes a statement about the National Policy Statement on Urban Development:
Urban areas in some parts of New Zealand are developing quickly. To support productive and well-functioning towns and cities, it is important that there are adequate opportunities for land to be developed to meet housing and economic needs. Within the Kaikōura district, growth is not so much of a factor over the period of the Long-Term Plan. As and when we foresee a period of growth outside of the norm, the Council will identify and plan to address constraints in our infrastructure to ensure our systems enable growth and support well-functioning urban environments.
- the last sentence in section 2.0 ... KDC’s Infrastructure Strategy at this time can be best described as an ‘enhanced business as usual’ approach, focussing on effective delivery of core functions, **without** taking any major new directions.
- The strategy would benefit from a summary in the overview of the assets that Council has and manages, including their current values.

Done in section 2.0

- Link organisational objectives to asset management objectives/targets/implications and indicate clearly how asset management objectives will be achieved.

Done – see new section 3.5

- Describe how asset management operates and how asset intensive services are delivered (in-house, outsourced etc) and rationale for the approach.

Done – see revised section 3.8

- Explain or reference critical assets including Council's understanding of condition and performance.

Done – see new section 3.7

- Section 3.5 notes that “*there remain a number of areas where improved data – particularly in respect of asset condition – would be desirable*”. Expand on what these areas are.

Done – additional detail added to end of section (now 3.6)

- For Three waters – include levels of service, performance measures and targets.

Included as Appendix 3

- Include stormwater asset condition info. as has been done for water and wastewater.

Stormwater asset condition information has not been presented graphically because as stated at the bottom of the preceding page all these assets are believed to be in condition 1.

- Summarise resource consents - existing, expiring, renewing and any impacts for infrastructure (for expiring consent if any).

Added as Appendix 4

- Long-term Annual Renewal Cost Profile – include this for water structures separately (as has been done for wastewater pipes and structures and water pipes).

Added as Figure 19A

- Is Figure 24 title (based on **2023** Dollar Terms)
- Clarity on uninflated and inflated forecasts. Rooding - capex forecasts are not presented separately inflated (as three waters is). It is unclear whether some forecasts are uninflated or inflated (Figure 17, 18, 19, 29, All assets – 30 year capex and opex forecasts, Appendix 2 – combined Opex and Capex, Capital projects – 10 years).

Where any figures are presented unaccompanied by any statement regarding whether they are inflated or not they will be uninflated. A note to this effect has been included in the introductory section.

- Include information on how future work programmes can be delivered. Council is part of the Waka Kotahi North Canterbury Network Outcomes Contract - how does this contribute/enable Council to deliver its future roading work programme. Rooding is significantly higher due to the Bridge and IAF projects. How will this be delivered together with the rest of its renewals programme? Wastewater and water capital

programmes are significantly higher than the past three years. How will this be delivered?

Additional comment added in section 3.8.